

1 **PORTER, SCOTT, WEIBERG & DELEHANT**

2 A Professional Corporation

3 Nancy J. Sheehan, SBN 109419
350 University Avenue, Suite 200
3 Sacramento, California 95825
(916) 929-1481

4 Attorneys for Defendants

5 REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA; LARRY VANDERHOEF; GREG
WARZECKA; PAM GILL-FISHER; ROBERT FRANKS and LAWRENCE SWANSON

6 Dan Siegel, SBN 56400

7 SIEGEL & YEE
499 14th Street, Suite 220
8 Oakland, California 94612
(510) 839-1200

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff

10 MICHAEL D. BURCH, and the
BANKRUPTCY ESTATE OF MICHAEL D. BURCH

12 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
13 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

14 MICHAEL D. BURCH and THE
15 BANKRUPTCY ESTATE OF MICHAEL
D. BURCH,

16 Plaintiffs,

17 vs.

18 REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF
CALIFORNIA; LARRY VANDERHOEF;
19 GREG WARZECKA; PAM GILL-
FISHER; ROBERT FRANKS; and
20 LAWRENCE SWANSON,

21 Defendants.

22 /

CASE NO. S 04-38 WBS/GGH

**STIPULATION REGARDING
MODIFICATION TO FINAL
PRETRIAL ORDER**

DATE: December 5, 2006
TIME: 9:00 a.m.
CTRM: 5

23 The parties, by and through their counsel of record, make the following stipulated
24 request for a minor modification of the final pretrial order issued on October 31, 2006. Per
25 section VIII(F) of the final pretrial order, all objections to exhibits shall be filed no later than
26 14 days before the trial date. The current due date is November 21, 2006. Counsel for the
27 parties have met and conferred on the issue of paring down the number of exhibits disclosed

1 by each side and identifying duplicates. They have agreed to meet on November 28, 2006 to
2 go through all of the exhibits in person for that purpose. The Court has ordered a status
3 conference on the morning of November 28, 2006. It is counsel's understanding that one of
4 the reasons for the status conference is the Court's concern about the number of exhibits and
5 witnesses identified in the final pretrial statements. The parties request that the deadline for
6 filing objections to exhibits be extended to November 30, 2006. This will eliminate the need
7 to file objections to documents that may be eliminated via the meet and confer process.

8 SIEGEL & YEE

9 Dated: November 20, 2006

10 By /s/ Dan Siegel

11 Dan Siegel
12 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
MICHAEL D. BURCH, and the
BANKRUPTCY ESTATE OF
MICHAEL D. BURCH

13
14 Dated: November 20, 2006

15 PORTER, SCOTT, WEIBERG & DELEHANT
A Professional Corporation


16

By /s/ Nancy Sheehan

17 Nancy Sheehan
18 Attorneys for Defendants
19 REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF
CALIFORNIA, LARRY VANDERHOEF,
GREG WARZECKA, PAM GILL-
FISHER, ROBERT FRANKS AND
LAWRENCE SWANSON

20
21 Good cause appearing, the final pretrial order is modified as follows. The parties shall
22 meet and confer on the disclosed exhibits on November 28, 2006. Any objections remaining
23 after the meet and confer process shall be filed no later than November 30, 2006.

24 Date: November 21, 2006

25 
26 WILLIAM B. SHUBB

27 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE